

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/533,365		03/22/2000	Masayuki Kitajima	980069B	9270
23850	7590	08/12/2002			
ARMSTRO 1725 K STRI	NG,WE	STERMAN & HA	EXAMINER		
SUITE 1000	•		EVERHART, CARIDAD		
WASHINGTON, DC 20006				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2825	
				DATE MAILED: 08/12/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	 -		MC
2		Application No.	f cant(s)
٠ - سر		09/533,365	KITAJIMA ET AL.
•	Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit
	-	Caridad M. Everhart	2825
	- Th MAILING DATE of this communication a		th the corr spond nce address
Period fo	r Reply		
THE N - Extending after the control of the control	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION is consistent of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state epty received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re epty within the statutory minimum of thirt od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	·	
2a)□	· · · · · ·	This action is non-final.	
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allo	owance except for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to the ments is
·	closed in accordance with the practice und ion of Claims	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
	Claim(s) 32-37 is/are pending in the application		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without	Irawn from consideration.	
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠	Claim(s) 32-37 is/are rejected.		
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.	
	ion Papers		
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.	
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	ccepted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	o the drawing(s) be held in abey	rance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on		disapproved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in		
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.	
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13)⊠	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:		
	1. Certified copies of the priority docum		
	2. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received in	Application No. <u>09/014,981</u> .
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internationa See the attached detailed Office action for a	priority documents have bee I Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	n received in this National Stage
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	nestic priority under 35 U.S.C	c. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
	a) The translation of the foreign language		
15)🛛	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for don	nestic priority under 35 U.S.C	C. §§ 120 and/or 121.
Attachme		A) Intensies	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)
2) \square Not	ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No	5) Notice of	of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
L			



Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claim 32 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Uzoh, et al. ("Uzoh")(US 6,113,769) or over Alpaugh, et al. ("Alpaugh")(US 4,152,467) or over Burnett, et al. ("Burnett")(US 4,904,506).

Uzoh discloses an apparatus which can be used for semiconductor substrates (col. 3, lines 53-60) and for a microelectronic device (col. 1, lies 14-20); it comprises a bath which may comprise solder (col. 3, lines 45-50); lines provide inert and o2 gases (Fig. 1 and Fig. 2, features 62 and 64); there is an oxygen monitor (col. 4, lines 26-40).



Art Unit: 2825

Alpaugh discloses a device which comprises a bath which comprises solder (col. 1, lines 62-68); the gases comprise oxygen and inert gas which is supplied and the oxygen is monitored (col. 5, lines 54-64).

Burnett discloses an apparatus which comprises a bath which comprises solder (col. 1, lines 55-63); the bath is supplied with oxygen and inert gas and the oxygen is monitored (col. 7, lines 15-23).

None of Uzoh nor Alpaugh nor Burnett disclose gold bump elements; however, the apparatus limitations are met as pointed out above, and the patentability or unpatentability of an apparatus claim is determined by the apparatus limitations, and not by the use of the apparatus.

Claim 33 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Uzoh, et al.

Uzoh is relied upon as discussed above. Uzoh further discloses a transfer mechanism (col. 3, lines 38-40). Although gold bumps are not disclosed, it is believed that the apparatus limitations are met by Uzoh, for the reasons given above.

Claims 34, 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Uzoh in view of Harnden et al (US 5,795,405).

Uzoh is silent with respect to the details of the transport mechanism.

Harnden discloses an apparatus for suspending a substrate in a solder bath comprising a conveyor mechanism comprising clips in a chain (col. 9, lines 55-66).

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to have combined Uzoh with the mechanism disclosed by Harnden because Uzoh discloses a mechanism for

Application/Control Number: 09/533,365

Art Unit: 2825

transporting a substrate, and one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to have used a mechanism known in the art as the transport mechanism.

Claims 36 and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Uzoh in view of Wanesky(US 3,992,236).

Uzoh does not teach a suction mechanism for the transport mechanism.

Wanesky teaches a support for a chip in which the mechanism of holding the chip is suction (col. 2, lines 23-43).

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to have used this method in the apparatus taught by Uzoh because Wanesky teaches that this method can be used to support substrates to be electroplated (col. 1, lines 30-33).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Caridad M. Everhart whose telephone number is 703-308-3455. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Fridays 7:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew S. Smith can be reached on 703-308-1323. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9318 for regular communications and 703-872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

Churchast Promotes

8-6-02